#### JEFF JACKSON ATTORNEY GENERAL



# TRACY NAYER SPECIAL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL TNAYER@NCDOJ.GOV

April 9, 2025

Vitaly Potapov
Yechiel Ross
RSCom Ltd.
RSCom Business, LLC
c/o Corporation Service Company
1090 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20005
Sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via email to vitaly@rscombusiness.com, robert.musgrove@rscom.us, info@rscom.ca

Re: SECOND AND FINAL NOTICE LETTER from the Anti-Robocall Multistate Litigation Task Force Concerning RSCom Ltd. and RSCom Business, LLC's Continued Involvement in Suspected Illegal Robocall Traffic

Dear Messrs. Potapov and Ross:

The Anti-Robocall Multistate Litigation Task Force's ("Task Force")<sup>1</sup> investigation of RSCom Ltd. and RSCom Business, LLC ("RSCom")<sup>2</sup> has shown that RSCom has transmitted, and

<sup>1</sup> The Anti-Robocall Multistate Litigation Task Force is a 51-member bipartisan collective of State Attorneys General, led by the Attorneys General of Indiana, North Carolina, and Ohio, which is focused on actively investigating and pursuing enforcement actions against various entities in the robocall ecosystem that are identified as being responsible for significant volumes of illegal and fraudulent robocall traffic routed into and across the country.

<sup>2</sup> RSCom Ltd—FCC Registration No. 0030982169; Robocall Mitigation Database No. RMD0002444—is a foreign corporation that provides the same Canadian business address in both the FCC Robocall Mitigation Database ("RMD") and Form 499 Filer Database ("499 Database"). 499 https://apps.fcc.gov/cgb/form499/499detail.cfm?FilerNum=831882 (last visited Mar. 19, 2025). The RMD identifies Yechiel Ross as RSCom Ltd's Chief Executive Officer, while the 499 Database identifies Vitaly Potapov as its CEO. RSCom Business, LLC—FCC Registration No. 0031023468; Robocall Mitigation Database No. RMD0002449—provides a Canadian address in the 499 Database and identifies RSCom Holdings LLC as its holding company, but attests in the RMD that it is not a foreign voice service provider and provides a business address in Dover, Delaware. **FCC** Form Filer Database, https://apps.fcc.gov/cgb/form499/499detail.cfm?FilerNum=833889 (last visited Mar. 19, 2025). The RMD and 499 Database identify Vitaly Potapov as RSCom Business, LLC's Managing Director and CEO, respectively. While neither entity's RMD includes a filed Robocall Mitigation

continues to transmit, suspected illegal robocall traffic on behalf of one or more of its customers. This Notice is the Task Force's second and final attempt to informally apprise you of the Task Force's concerns regarding RSCom call traffic, and to caution RSCom that it should scrutinize the call traffic of its current customers, evaluate the efficacy of its existing robocall mitigation policies, and cease transmitting illegal traffic on behalf of its current customers.

The Task Force provides this Notice in order to memorialize some of its investigative findings to date.

#### Task Force's Findings Regarding RSCom's Call Traffic

As you are aware, on March 17, 2021, RSCom was issued a Cease-and-Desist Notice<sup>3</sup> from the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") and, on May 10, 2022, RSCom was issued a Warning Regarding Assisting and Facilitating Illegal Robocalls<sup>4</sup> from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"). The FCC's Cease-and-Desist provided that RSCom was "apparently transmitting illegal robocall traffic on behalf of one or more of its clients" for "multiple illegal robocall campaigns." The FTC's Warning Letter provided that RSCom was knowingly routing and transmitting illegal robocall traffic identified therein between January 19, 2021, and March 3, 2022. Both the FCC's Cease-and-Desist and the FTC's Warning Letter referenced applicable federal laws and rules, and RSCom's legal obligations under the same.

On August 1, 2022, the Task Force issued its Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") to RSCom to identify, investigate, and mitigate suspected illegal call traffic that is or was accepted onto, and transmitted across, RSCom's network. On November 3, 2023, the Task Force issued a Notice to RSCom ("2023 Task Force Notice") memorializing some of the Task Force's findings concerning RSCom's call traffic, informing you of the Task Force's continuing concerns regarding your call traffic, and cautioning RSCom that it should cease transmitting any illegal traffic immediately. Based on pertinent analyses and information available to the Task Force, it appears that RSCom has continued to transmit calls associated with high-volume illegal and/or suspicious robocall campaigns.

Plan, both RMD entries provide the same phone number for the Robocall Mitigation Contact: 865-507-2025, and the RMD entries are attested as true, under penalty of perjury, by "VP" and "Vitaly Potapov." For these reasons, this Notice refers to these entities collectively as "RSCom."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FCC, FCC Issues Robocall Cease-and-Desist Letter to RSCom, <a href="https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-370915A1.pdf">https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-370915A1.pdf</a> (hereinafter "FCC's Cease-and-Desist").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FTC, Warning Letter to RSCom Ltd., <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc\_gov/pdf/pointofnoentry-rscomwarningletter.pdf">https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc\_gov/pdf/pointofnoentry-rscomwarningletter.pdf</a> (hereinafter "FTC's Warning Letter").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> FCC's Cease-and-Desist at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> FTC's Warning Letter at 1–2.

During the course of its investigation of RSCom, the Task Force requested the production of call detail records for all call traffic sent to and/or through your network or which you originated on behalf of your customers during a certain time period. Additionally, as noted in the 2023 Task Force Notice, as part of its investigation into the transmission of illegal robocalls and the providers and entities that originate and/or route them, the Task Force regularly reviews call traffic information from several industry sources, including USTelecom's Industry Traceback Group ("ITG")<sup>7</sup> and ZipDX LLC ("ZipDX")<sup>8</sup>.

Call traffic data from the ITG shows that it issued at least **997 traceback notices** to RSCom since January 2019 for calls it accepted and/or transmitted onto and across the U.S. telephone network. These notices from the ITG cited recurrent high-volume illegal and/or suspicious robocalling campaigns concerning government imposters and impersonations, tax relief, private entity imposters, utilities disconnects, travel scams, student loan forgiveness, and others, with RSCom identified as serving in various roles in the call path. At least **387 traceback notices** were issued since August 2022—after the Task Force issued its CID to RSCom—and, of those, still more than **116 traceback notices** were issued since the 2023 Task Force Notice. Additionally, the traceback notices issued since August 2022 continue to show that RSCom is being identified as the point-of-entry or gateway<sup>9</sup> provider for this traffic, as well as the immediate downstream provider to the originating provider and the originating provider itself. Because the ITG estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Established in 2015, the ITG is a private collaborative industry group—composed of providers across wireline, wireless, VOIP, and cable services—that traces and identifies the sources of suspected illegal and suspicious robocalls. In December 2019, Congress enacted the Pallone—Thune Telephone Robocall Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act ("TRACED Act") to combat the scourge of unlawful robocalls. *See* Pub. L. No. 116-105, § 13(d), 133 Stat. 3274 (2019). Following its enactment, the Federal Communications Commission designated the ITG as the official private-led traceback consortium charged with leading the voice communications industry's efforts to trace the origin of suspected illegal robocalls through various communications networks through tracebacks. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ZipDX is a provider of web- and phone-based collaboration services, which also focuses resources on developing and making technology available that is directed at mitigating illegal robocalls and other telephone-based fraud and abuse. ZipDX's proprietary tool "RRAPTOR" is one such technology, which is an automated robocall surveillance tool that captures call recordings and information for calls largely associated with high-volume suspicious calling campaigns, and identifies the providers who have affixed their SHAKEN signatures to each of the captured calls, indicating that the provider is in the call path and whether those providers have attested to knowing the calling party who made the suspicious call and/or knowing of the calling party's right to use that calling number to make that suspicious call. *See* ZipDX, What is RRAPTOR?, <a href="https://legalcallsonly.org/what-is-rraptor/">https://legalcallsonly.org/what-is-rraptor/</a> (last visited Oct. 17, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls, CG Docket No. 17-59; Call Authentication Trust Anchor, WC Docket No. 17-97; Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration, Order, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 87 FR 42916, 42917–18, para. 7 (2022) (defining a "gateway provider" as "a U.S.-based intermediate provider that receives a call directly from a foreign originating provider or foreign intermediate provider at its U.S.-based facilities before transmitting the call downstream to another U.S.-based provider").

that each traced call is representative of a large volume of similar illegal and/or suspicious calls, <sup>10</sup> RSCom is likely continuing to cause significant volumes of illegal and/or suspicious robocalls to ultimately reach U.S. consumers, despite traceback notifications from the ITG of this identified and suspected illegal call traffic.

Further, an analysis of a limited set of call detail records <sup>11</sup> from RSCom's nationwide call traffic for a period between July 2021 and March 2022 shows that more than **10.275 million calls were made using invalid Caller ID numbers**, which means the calling numbers making the calls used a combination of numbers that were not assigned and/or recognized as valid by the North American Numbering Plan Administrator. Each call made using an invalid calling telephone number appears to have violated the Truth in Caller ID, 47 U.S.C. 227(e)(1) and 47 C.F.R. 64.1604(a), and the TCPA, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(n)(4)–(5).

Additionally, RSCom's nationwide call traffic included more than **46,997 calls using illegally spoofed telephone numbers** for this same limited time period. The illegally spoofed calling numbers disguised calls as legitimate call traffic from local, state, and federal government agencies within the United States, and misrepresented callers' affiliations with law enforcement agencies and private sector entities. Each call made using an illegally spoofed calling telephone number appears to have violated the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(a)(8), and the Truth in Caller ID: 47 U.S.C. § 227(e)(1) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1604(a).

Finally, after an analysis of a subset of recorded voicemail messages that corresponded with the call detail records, more than **26,930** calls contained unlawful or fraudulent content,

- a. The date and time of the call attempt;
- b. The duration of the call (calls that fail to connect are generally denoted by a zero-second duration);
- c. The intended call recipient's telephone number;
- d. The originating or calling number from which the call was placed (which may be a real number or may be spoofed);
- e. An identifier such as a name or account number for the upstream provider that sent the call attempt to the provider's network; and
- f. An identifier for the downstream provider to which the provider attempts to route the call.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> USTelecom, *Industry Traceback Group Policies and Procedures*, at 4 (last revised April 2022) (*ITG Policies & Procedures*) (defining "campaign" as "[a] group of calls with identical or nearly identical messaging as determined by the content and calling patterns of the caller," where "[a] single Campaign often represents hundreds of thousands or millions of calls"), *available at* <a href="https://r01986.a2cdn1.secureserver.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/ITG-Policies-and-Procedures-Updated-Apr-2022.pdf">https://r01986.a2cdn1.secureserver.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/ITG-Policies-and-Procedures-Updated-Apr-2022.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Call detail records or "CDRs" are automatically generated records of each attempted or completed call that reaches and/or crosses a voice service provider's network. CDRs generally include the following information:

with each call's content appearing to have violated the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(iii), and/or the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), (b)(1)(B), 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(2)–(3).

Information available from ZipDX indicates that RSCom also attested to calls for a number of the same high-volume robocalling campaigns for which it received and/or continues to receive traceback notices from the ITG. For instance, during an eight-month period last year, ZipDX identified **116 suspicious calls** transmitted by RSCom **from 46 unique calling numbers**, <sup>12</sup> exhibiting characteristics indicative of calls that are violations of federal and state laws; 100% of these calls were also made to numbers that have been registered on the National Do Not Call Registry. <sup>13</sup> Additionally, about 70% of these calls were marked with a B-Level STIR/SHAKEN attestation, indicating that RSCom knows the identities of the calling parties that originated these suspicious calls.

Lastly, analysis of a portion of RSCom's likely involvement in the routing of nationwide call traffic concerning Amazon/Apple imposter robocalls was assessed. Between November 2020 and October 2024, among a nationwide sample of over 3.1 million transcribed and recorded Amazon/Apple imposter robocalls, more than 189,700 of these Amazon/Apple imposter robocalls are estimated to be attributable to RSCom. Thus, of the more than 1.56 billion estimated Amazon/Apple imposter robocalls reaching consumers across the country in this sample during this period, approximately 94.8 million of these scam robocalls are estimated to be attributable to RSCom.

A similar analysis of RSCom's likely involvement in the routing of nationwide call traffic concerning SSA/IRS government imposter robocalls was assessed. Between July 2019 and June 2021, among a nationwide sample of over 3.8 million transcribed and recorded SSA/IRS government imposter robocalls, more than 390,200 of these SSA/IRS government imposter robocalls are estimated to be attributable to RSCom. Thus, of the over 1.9 billion estimated SSA/IRS government imposter robocalls reaching consumers across the country in this sample during this period, approximately 195.1 million of these scam robocalls are estimated to be attributable to RSCom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The use of many unique calling numbers for this volume of called numbers indicates a suspicious pattern in your call traffic of "snowshoeing" or "snowshoe spoofing," which is a practice often employed by illegal robocallers and telemarketers to circumvent the protections of the STIR/SHAKEN call authentication framework by using significant quantities of unique numbers for caller IDs on a short-term or rotating basis in order to evade behavioral analytics detection, or to bypass or hinder call blocking or call labeling analytics based on the origination numbers. Telephone numbers used for snowshoeing sometimes cannot themselves receive incoming calls, which has the effect of impeding an audit of the legitimacy of these calling numbers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Most calls captured by RRAPTOR are calls made to phone numbers that have been registered on the National Do Not Call Registry.

After reviewing and analyzing the information available to the Task Force as a result of its investigation, the Task Force has concluded that RSCom is and/or has been involved in, at a minimum, transmitting call traffic indicative of, and associated with, recurrent high-volume illegal and/or suspicious robocalling campaigns and/or practices, which conduct could subject RSCom to damages, civil penalties, injunctions, and other available relief provided to State Attorneys General under both federal and state laws.

#### **Overview of Select Relevant Laws**

As RSCom well knows, originating and transmitting illegal robocalls are violations of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, <sup>14</sup> the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, <sup>15</sup> and/or the Truth in Caller ID Act, <sup>16</sup> as well as state consumer protection statutes.

### <u>Telemarketing Sales Rule (15 U.S.C. §§ 6101–6108; 16 C.F.R. Part 310)</u>

In 1994, Congress passed the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act which directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting deceptive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>17</sup> Pursuant to this directive, the FTC promulgated the Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR"). It is a violation of the TSR for voice service providers to provide substantial assistance to customers that the provider "knows or consciously avoids knowing" are engaged in practices that violate TSR provisions against deceptive and abusive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>18</sup> State Attorneys General have concurrent authority with the FTC to sue to obtain damages, restitution, or other compensation on behalf of their citizens for violations of the TSR.<sup>19</sup>

## Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227; 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1200 and 64.1604)

Under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), the FCC promulgated rules restricting calls made with automated telephone dialing systems and calls delivering artificial or prerecorded voice messages. <sup>20</sup> Additionally, the TCPA generally prohibits solicitation calls placed to numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry. <sup>21</sup> State Attorneys General are authorized to bring enforcement actions to enjoin violative calls and recover substantial civil penalties for *each violation* of the TCPA. <sup>22</sup> The TCPA exempts from its prohibitions calls made for emergency

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101–6108; 16 C.F.R. §§ 310.3, 310.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227; 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(e); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1604.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 6102.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 6103; 16 C.F.R. § 310.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), (b)(1)(B); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(1)–(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(c); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(g)(1).

purposes and certain other calls,<sup>23</sup> including those made with the "prior express consent" of the called party or with "prior express *written* consent" of the called party for telemarketing calls.<sup>24</sup> Note, however, the FCC has found in at least one instance that single consents purportedly given by a consumer to large groups of marketers listed on an alternate webpage are insufficient to satisfy this exemption.<sup>25</sup>

#### Truth in Caller ID Act (47 U.S.C. § 227(e))

Under the federal Truth in Caller ID Act, it is generally unlawful for a person to "knowingly transmit misleading or inaccurate caller identification information with the intent to defraud, cause harm, or wrongfully obtain anything of value." State Attorneys General have the authority to bring enforcement actions for violations of the Truth in Caller ID Act and its prohibition against illegal caller identification spoofing. Such violative conduct can lead to assessments of civil penalties of up to \$10,000 for each violation, or three times that amount for each day of continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)–(B), (b)(2)(B); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(1)–(3), (a)(9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)–(B); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(1)–(3), (f)(9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> For example, in November 2022, the FCC issued an order requiring all voice service providers to block calls from provider Urth Access, LLC. In response to allegations concerning the transmission of illegal robocalls, Urth Access claimed to have obtained express consent for each of the calls. However, that consent stemmed from websites where consumers purportedly agreed to receive robocalls from over 5,000 "marketing partners" listed on a separate site. The FCC found this type of practice insufficient to constitute express consent to the marketing partners to contact the consumers. See FCC Orders Voice Service Providers to Block Student Loan Robocalls, https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-orders-voice-service-providers-block-student-loan-robocalls (Order); FCCIssues Robocall Cease-and-Desist Letter Urth Access. https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-issues-robocall-cease-and-desist-letter-urth-access (Ceaseand-Desist Letter). We note that this decision is consistent with the FTC's interpretation of the express consent requirement of the TSR. See Federal Register, Vol. 73 No. 169, 2008 at 51182, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2008-08-29/pdf/E8-20253.pdf (consumer's agreement with a seller to receive calls delivering prerecorded messages is nontransferable); FTC, Complying with Telemarketing Sales Rule. The Written Agreement Requirement, https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/complying-telemarketing-salesrule#writtenagreement; but see, Insurance Marketing Coalition, Ltd. v. Federal Communications Commission, -- F.4th --, 2025 WL 289152 (11th Cir. 2025) (vacating and remanding FCC rule requiring those wishing to make a telemarketing or advertising robocall to obtain (1) consent from one called party to one seller at a time; and (2) consent that is logically and topically related to the interaction that prompted the consent).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(e)(1); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1604.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(e)(6).

violations.<sup>28</sup> Note that any penalties for violations of the Truth in Caller ID Act are in addition to those assessed for any other penalties provided for by the TCPA.<sup>29</sup>

#### General Note regarding State Laws

In addition to their authority to enforce the above federal statutes, State Attorneys General are empowered to enforce their respective state laws regulating various aspects of the initiation and transmission of illegal robocall and telemarketing call traffic across the U.S. telephone network. Voice service providers transmitting calls into and throughout the states are obligated to familiarize themselves with, and abide by, all applicable state laws.

#### **Requested Action in Response to this Notice**

As noted above, the Task Force is providing this Notice in order to memorialize some of its investigative findings to date. The Task Force requests that you review this Notice in detail and carefully scrutinize and actively investigate any suspected illegal call traffic that is, and has been, accepted and transmitted by and through RSCom's network, in order to ensure that your current business—and any subsequently-formed businesses—follow all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, including those referenced above. If subsequent investigation shows that RSCom and/or its principals continue to assist customers by initiating and/or transmitting call traffic not dissimilar from the traffic highlighted in this Notice, the Task Force may decide to pursue an enforcement action against RSCom, any later-formed business entities, and the principal owners and operators in common to both. Future action may also consist of referring the matter to the FCC for consideration of potential enforcement actions.<sup>30</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 227(e)(5)(A), (e)(6)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> The FCC's authorities are broad and may allow for several potential enforcement actions, including a Cease-and-Desist Letter, see, e.g., FCC Orders Avid Telecom to Cease and Desist https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-orders-avid-telecom-cease-and-desist-robocalls Robocalls (issued Jun. 7, 2023); FCC Issues Robocall Cease-and-Desist Letter to PZ/Illum, https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-issues-robocall-cease-and-desist-letter-pzillum (issued Oct. 21, 2021), a K4 Public Notice, see FCC Enforcement Bureau Notifies All U.S.-Based Providers of Rules Permitting Them to Block Robocalls Transmitting From One Eye LLC, https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-takes-repeat-robocall-offenders-attempts-evade-enforcement (issued Feb. 15, 2023), a Notice of Apparent Liability, see, e.g., John C. Spiller; Jakob A. Mears; Rising Eagle Capital Group LLC; JSquared Telecom LLC; Only Web Leads LLC; Rising Phoenix Group; Rising Phoenix Holdings; RPG Leads; and Rising Eagle Capital Group – Cayman, Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 35 FCC Rcd 5948 (2020), available at https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-20-74A1 Rcd.pdf, a Consumer Communications Information Services Threat ("C-CIST") Designation Notice, see FCC [Enforcement Bureau] Issues C-CIST Classification for "Royal Tiger", https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-eb-issues-ccist-classification-royal-tiger (issued May 13, 2024), or proceedings that may result in removal from the Robocall Mitigation Database, see, e.g., Viettel Business Solutions Company, Etihad

For your information, we have informed several of our federal law enforcement counterparts—including our colleagues at the FCC's Enforcement Bureau—of the Task Force's intention to issue this Notice to RSCom. Finally, this Notice does not waive or otherwise preclude the Task Force from bringing an enforcement action related to conduct preceding the date of this Notice, including conduct that resulted in violations related to the call traffic referenced in this Notice.

The Task Force remains steadfast in its resolve to meaningfully curb illegal robocall traffic. Please direct any inquiries regarding this Notice to my attention at <a href="mailto:tnayer@ncdoj.gov">tnayer@ncdoj.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Tracy Nayer

Special Deputy Attorney General Consumer Protection Division North Carolina Department of Justice

Etisalat (Mobily), Claude ICT Poland Sp. z o. o. dba TeleCube.PL, Nervill LTD, Textodog Inc. dba Textodog and Textodog Software Inc., Phone GS, Computer Integrated Solutions dba CIS IT & Engineering, Datacom Specialists, DomainerSuite, Inc., Evernex SMC PVT LTD, Humbolt Voip, and My Taxi Ride Inc., Removal Order, 39 FCC Rcd 1319 (2024), available at <a href="https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-removes-12-entities-robocall-mitigation-database">https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-removes-12-entities-robocall-mitigation-database</a>, the latter of which—if completed—would require all intermediate providers and terminating voice service providers to cease accepting your call traffic.